

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO**

PEDRO ROSSELLO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BROWN & WILLIAMSON, et al.,

Defendants

CIVIL CASE NO. 97-1910 (JAF)

**DEFENDANT OPMS' FOURTH SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL  
AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO ENFORCE THE ARBITRATION  
PROVISIONS OF THE MSA AND COMPEL ARBITRATION**

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

COME NOW Philip Morris USA Inc., R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company and Lorillard Tobacco Company (the "OPMs"), through their undersigned counsel, and respectfully submit the following appellate court decisions compelling arbitration in support of the OPMs' "Motion to Enforce the Arbitration Provisions of the Master Settlement Agreement and Compel Arbitration." (Docket Nos. 262, 263.)

1. On April 17, 2007, the Delaware Supreme Court affirmed the trial court's order compelling arbitration, holding that the Master Settlement Agreement "compels arbitration to determine the issue of diligent enforcement," and noting that the parties "voluntarily entered into an Agreement with plain language compelling arbitration in matters concerning the operation or application of adjustments based on each jurisdiction's qualifying statute." *Delaware v. Philip Morris, USA, Inc.*, C.A. No. 657,2006, slip op. at 2 (Del. April 17, 2007) (Ex. 1).
2. On April 23, 2007, the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts affirmed the trial court's order dismissing the State's motion and compelling arbitration, holding that "[t]he dispute falls squarely under the arbitration provision of the settlement agreement." *Commonwealth v. Philip Morris Inc.*, 448 Mass. 836, 849 (2007) (Ex. 2).
3. On May 24, 2007, the Arizona Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court's order compelling arbitration, holding that the Arbitration Clause "authorizes arbitration of '[a]ny dispute, controversy or claim arising out of or relating to calculations

- performed by, or any determinations made by, the Independent Auditor,” including “without limitation, any dispute concerning the operation or application of any of the adjustments . . . described in subsection IX(j),” which in turn “lists the various adjustments,” including the NPM Adjustment and the diligent enforcement exemption from that Adjustment. *Arizona v. Philip Morris Inc.*, No. 07-0083, slip op. at 9 (Ariz. Ct. App. May 24, 2007) (Ex. 3).
4. On June 7, 2007, the New York Court of Appeals affirmed the decision of the Appellate Division compelling arbitration, concluding that “[t]he plain language of the MSA compels arbitration,” that “[b]y using the expansive words ‘any’ and ‘relating to,’ the MSA makes explicit that all claims that have a connection with the Independent Auditor’s calculations and determinations are arbitrable,” and that because the parties’ dispute regarding the NPM Adjustment and subsidiary diligent enforcement issue “constitute claims ‘relating to’ the Independent Auditor’s calculations and determinations,” “[t]he arbitration provision . . . ‘expressly and unequivocally encompasses the subject matter of the particular dispute.’” *New York v. Philip Morris Inc.*, No. 72, slip op. at 6-8 (N.Y. June 7, 2007) (Ex. 4).
  5. On June 7, 2007, the Michigan Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court’s decision compelling arbitration of the parties’ dispute regarding the NPM Adjustment, including the diligent enforcement exemption to that Adjustment. The Court found that “[b]ased on the unambiguous language of the Agreement, . . . arbitration of this dispute is plainly required.” *Michigan v. Philip Morris USA*, No. 273665, slip op. at 5 (Mich. Ct. App. June 7, 2007) (Ex. 5).
  6. On June 7, 2007, the North Dakota Supreme Court reversed the lone decision cited by the State denying arbitration and remanded for entry of an order compelling arbitration. The Court held that “the plain and unambiguous language of the parties’ settlement agreement requires arbitration of their dispute over application of the diligent enforcement exemption to the agreement’s non-participating manufacturer adjustment.” *North Dakota v. Philip Morris Inc.*, Nos. 20060207 & 20060213, slip op. at 1 (N.D. June 7, 2007) (Ex. 6).

With these decisions, which are offered as supplemental authority, all eight appellate courts to consider the issue have held that this dispute must be arbitrated.

Finally, on May 31, 2007, a Louisiana trial court issued an order denying the OPMs motion to compel arbitration. *Foti v. Philip Morris*, No. 1998-6473 (La. Dist. Ct. May 31, 2007) (Ex. 7). It is now the lone decision to do so; courts in 43 of the 44 jurisdictions to consider the issue have held that arbitration of this dispute — including the issue of diligent enforcement — is required by the plain and unambiguous language of the MSA Arbitration Clause.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico this 14<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2007.

/s/ Salvador Antonetti-Zequeira

Fiddler, González & Rodríguez, PSC  
Salvador Antonetti-Zequeira (113910)  
P.O. Box 363507  
San Juan, PR 00936-3507  
E-mail: santonet@fgrlaw.com  
Tel: 787-759-3207 / Fax: 787-250-7545  
*Attorneys for Defendants Philip Morris USA  
Inc., R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company and  
Lorillard Tobacco Company*

**OF COUNSEL:**

*Attorneys for RJ Reynolds Tobacco Co.*

Douglas G. Smith  
Salvatore F. Bianca  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
Aon Center  
200 East Randolph Drive  
Chicago, IL 60601  
Tel: 312-861-2000 / Fax: 312-861-2200

*Attorneys for Lorillard Tobacco Company*

Penny Reid  
Idit Froim  
Weil Gotshal & Manges  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10153  
Tel: 212-310-8000 / Fax: 212-310-8007

*Attorneys for Philip Morris USA Inc.*

James D. Mathias  
Alexander Shaknes  
Brett Ingerman  
DLA Piper US LLP  
1251 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10020-1104  
Tel: 212-335-4500 / Fax: 212-335-4501

Thomas J. Frederick  
Kevin J. Narko  
Winston & Strawn LLP  
35 West Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60601  
Tel: 312-558-5600 / Fax: 312-558-5700

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this date I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notice to the following: Benjamin Acosta, Jr., Francisco A. Besosa, Edgardo Cartagena Santiago, Jose A. Fuentes Agostini, William A. Graffam, Manuel A. Guzman Rodriguez, Paul H. Hulsey, Juan A. Ramos Diaz,

Hector Reichard Jr., Vicente Santori Coll, Francisco M. Troncoso, Richard Schell Asad, Eric A.

Tulla. Notice will be served by regular mail to the following non registered attorneys:

Attorney General for the  
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico  
Department of Justice  
Roberto J. Sánchez Ramos, Esq.  
P.O. Box 9020192  
San Juan, PR 00902  
and

Scott C. Linden, Esq.  
Adam E. Miller, Esq.  
Michael B. Minton, Esq.  
William Newbold, Esq.  
Carl Rowley, Esq.  
Thompson & Coburn  
One Mercantile Center  
St. Louis, MO 63101

Lee E. Young, Esq.  
Charles J. Mikhail, Esq.  
Ness, Motely, Loadholt, Richardson & Poole  
151 Meeting St. Suite 600  
PO Box 1137  
Charleston, SC 29402

Juan A. Ramos-Diaz, Esq.  
Ramos Diaz, Acevedo & Gonzalez CSP  
359 De Diego Ave., Suite 601  
San Juan, PR 00909-1740

Peter Bellacosa, Esq.  
Kirkland & Ellis  
153 E. 53rd Street  
New York, NY 10022

Frederick C. Baker, Esq.  
J. Anderson Berly, Esq.  
Jerry Hudson Evans, Esq.  
W. Michael Gruenloh, Esq.  
Charles J. Mikhail, Esq.  
Ronald L. Motley, Esq.  
Ness, Motley, Loadholt, Richardson & Poole  
28 Bridgeside Blvd. Suite 400  
P.O. Box 1792  
Mount Pleasant, SC 29465

Jose A. Fuentes-Agostini, Esq.  
Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson, PA - DC  
801 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20004

Paul H. Hulsey  
Hulsey Litigation Group, L.L.C.  
Charleston Harbor  
2 Wharfside 3  
Charleston, SC 29401

Vincent Chang, Esq.  
Davis Polk & Wardwell  
450 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10017

Michael S. Chernis, Esq.  
Alan Kaufman, Esq.  
Robert Gaffey, Esq.  
Kristi L. Midboe Miller, Esq.  
Jones, Day, Reavis and Pogue  
599 Lexington Ave.  
New York, NY 10022

Julie R. Fischer, Esq.  
Aaron H. Marks, Esq.  
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman, LLP  
1301 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019 6022

Robert F. McDermott, Jr., Esq.  
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue  
Metropolitan Square  
1450 G. Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005

Andrew T. Frankel, Esq.  
David M. Moss, Esq.  
Demetra Frawley, Esq.  
Kathleen L. Turland, Esq.  
Mark G. Cunha, Esq.  
Simpson, Thacher & Bartlett  
425 Lexington Ave.  
New York, NY 10017-3954

Marie V. Santacroce, Esq.  
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres &  
Friedman,LLP  
1301 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019 6022

Robert R. Merhige, Esq.  
Hunton & Williams  
Riverfront Plaza East Tower  
951 East Byrd St.  
Richmond, VA 23219 4074

In San Juan, Puerto Rico this 14<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2007.

ss/Salvador Antonetti-Zequeira  
Fiddler, González & Rodríguez, PSC  
Salvador Antonetti-Zequeira (113910)